

City of San Leandro

Meeting Date: September 16, 2013

Staff Report

File Number: 13-401 Agenda Section: CONSENT CALENDAR

Agenda Number: 8.J.

TO: City Council

FROM: Chris Zapata

City Manager

BY: Cynthia Battenberg

Community Development Director

FINANCE REVIEW: Not Applicable

TITLE: Staff Report for Resolution of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment

Agency of the City of San Leandro Adopting a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the Period January 1 - June 30, 2014,

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177(L)

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the governing board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Leandro adopt a Resolution approving a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period from January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 (ROPS 13-14B).

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to Assembly Bill x1 26, on January 9, 2012, the City Council affirmed its decision to have the City serve as the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency (Agency). Assembly Bill 1484, passed by the State Legislature on June 27, 2012, modified AB x1 26 to clarify that successor agencies are separate legal entities from the city that formed the redevelopment agency. Under AB x1 26, the Successor Agency is obligated to prepare a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) every six months that lists all enforceable obligations payable during the next six-month period. Approval of the ROPS by the Oversight Board is also required prior to submission to the California Department of Finance (DOF). The Successor Agency can only pay obligations that are listed on the ROPS and approved by the State Department of Finance (DOF).

Analysis

A primary responsibility of the Successor Agency is to oversee the payment of Enforceable Obligations. Enforceable Obligations are defined as:

Bonds including debt service reserve set asides and any other required payments;

- Loans borrowed by the Agency;
- Payments required by the federal or state governments;
- Pension and unemployment payments for Agency employees;
- Judgments, settlements or binding arbitration decisions; and
- Any legally binding and enforceable contract that does not violate the debt limit or public policy.

The current ROPS, version 13-14B, is based on a new spreadsheet template issued by the DOF in August 2013 and therefore presents some information differently than in the past. The obligations listed on the ROPS for January through June 2014 are generally the same as those in the previous ROPS, although the following obligations are either new or the subject of ongoing disagreement between the Successor Agency and the DOF:

City-Agency Agreements

After the approval of each previous version of the San Leandro ROPS, the DOF exercised its right to conduct a review of the list of Enforceable Obligations. Upon completion of those reviews, the DOF informed the Successor Agency that it does not consider some items to be enforceable because AB x1 26 does not recognize agreements between a redevelopment agency and the city that created it. This determination related to a loan from the City General Fund to the Joint Redevelopment Project Area with a balance of \$2.1million and four Cooperative Agreements to fund \$9.1 million in capital improvement projects.

In response to the DOF's initial determination, the Successor Agency and Oversight Board exercised a power granted in Health and Safety Code Section 34178 to re-authorize those agreements in May 2012. Assembly Bill 1484, which went into effect on June 27, 2012, revises that section of the code, limiting an Oversight Board's ability to re-authorize agreements. DOF's continued objection suggests that it interprets AB 1484 to have a retroactive effect on these actions.

After the denial of these agreements on the ROPS for January-June 2013, staff requested and received a meet-and-confer appointment with DOF. After that meeting, however, DOF has continued to deny the validity of these obligations. No other administrative remedies are available to the Successor Agency at this point. A lawsuit to challenge the DOF's interpretation is pending. The obligations remain on the ROPS but DOF has prohibited the Successor Agency from receiving any funding under them.

If it is unable to establish the validity of these City-Agency agreements through other means, the Successor Agency may opt to take advantage of a clause in AB 1484 which would allow repayment of loans made by cities to redevelopment agencies upon compliance with certain requirements. That clause, however, would strictly limit the amount of the payments and requires 20 percent of all payments to be dedicated to affordable housing, among other restrictions. That clause would not provide a mechanism for restoring funding for the capital projects funded through the Cooperative Agreements.

Alameda Housing Associates (BRIDGE) Loan Agreement

The DOF previously denied the validity of the remaining balance (approximately \$7m) of a \$9.1m Redevelopment Agency loan to Alameda Housing Associates for the construction of the Cornerstone project. The DOF, through the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF)

Due Diligence Review process, also demanded that the Successor Agency remit a fund balance of \$3.9m that had been reserved for this obligation. The Successor Agency filed a legal challenge to these determinations and a settlement in July 2013 resolved the issues in the Successor Agency's favor. The remaining balance on this obligation is expected to be fully paid by June 2014, and will be paid as follows:

- Existing LMIHF balance: \$3.9m
- Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) payment from the July-December 2013 ROPS (ROPS 13-14A): \$1.1m
- Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) payment from the January-June 2014 ROPS (ROPS 13-14B): \$2.0m

Litigation Expenditures

Health and Safety Code Section 34171(b) states that litigation expenses do not count against the administrative budget allowance. The Successor Agency has three active or potential claims against the DOF. Two of these actions were resolved in the Successor Agency's favor and are not expected to incur further charges after the conclusion of the next ROPS period. A legal challenge related to loans made by the City to the Redevelopment Agency is still pending, as noted above.

Community Benefit District

In July 2013, a Community Benefit District was formed to generate funding to support Downtown San Leandro. The Successor Agency presently owns ten parcels that are located inside the Benefit District. Although the Successor Agency did not vote during the balloting process, it is subject to this assessment now that it is in effect. The Successor Agency's assessment for 2013-14 will be \$36,508.10 and this amount has been added to the ROPS as a new obligation.

Administrative Budget

The ROPS also contains an administrative budget for the Successor Agency. Based on direction from the California Department of Finance, the amount of the administrative allowance is the greater of 3% of funds received from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund or \$250,000 annually. Because sufficient RPTTF was not available, the Successor Agency did not receive any Administrative Allowance under ROPS 13-14A and the Agency is therefore requesting its full annual allotment on the current ROPS. The amount requested is calculated as follows.

 Approved RPTTF from ROPS 13-14A:
 \$5,239,717

 Requested RPTTF from ROPS 13-14B:
 \$5,007,865

 Total RPTTF for the 2013-14 fiscal year:
 \$10,247,582

Allowed Administrative Allowance (3%) \$307,427

The Oversight Board is scheduled to approve this ROPS on September 18, 2013 and it will be forwarded to the DOF, the State Controller's Office and the Alameda County Auditor Controller after that action is finalized.

Previous Actions

On January 9, 2012, the City Council affirmed its decision to have the City serve as the

- Successor Agency for the former Redevelopment Agency and to retain the Agency's housing assets and functions.
- On April 2, 2012, May 7, 2012, July 17, 2012, and February 19, 2013 the City Council, serving as governing board of the Successor Agency, adopted ROPS for previous periods.

Fiscal Impacts

As noted above, payment of the Enforceable Obligations listed on the ROPS will be funded using funds disbursed by the County Auditor-Controller using property tax revenue deposited into the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). The General Fund (or any other City fund) does not bear any responsibility for the payment of enforceable obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency.

ATTACHMENTS

None

PREPARED BY: Jeff Kay, Acting Business Development Manager, Community Development Department



City of San Leandro

Meeting Date: September 16, 2013

Resolution - SA

File Number: 13-402 Agenda Section: CONSENT CALENDAR

Agenda Number:

TO: City Council

FROM: Chris Zapata

City Manager

BY: Cynthia Battenberg

Community Development Director

FINANCE REVIEW: Not Applicable

TITLE: ADOPT: Resolution of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency

of the City of San Leandro Adopting a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the Period January 1 - June 30, 2014, Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177(L) (approves the six-month payment schedule detailing the obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency)

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 2012-001, adopted by the City Council of the City of San Leandro on January 9, 2012, the City of San Leandro ("City") agreed to serve as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Leandro (the "Successor Agency") commencing upon the dissolution of the Agency on February 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177(I), before each six-month fiscal period, the Successor Agency to a dissolved Redevelopment Agency is required to adopt a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule ("ROPS") that lists all of the obligations that are "enforceable obligations" within the meaning of Health and Safety Code Section 34177, and which identifies a source of payment for each such obligation from among (i) the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, (ii) bond proceeds, (iii) reserve balances, (iv) the administrative cost allowance, (v) revenues from rents, concessions, interest earnings, and asset sales, and (vi) the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund established by the County Auditor-Controller to the extent no other source of funding is available or payment from property tax is contractually or statutorily required; and

WHEREAS, the ROPS must be concurrently submitted to the County Administrative Officer, the County Auditor-Controller, the State Department of Finance, and the Oversight Board established to review Successor Agency actions; and

WHEREAS, once the ROPS is approved by the Oversight Board, the ROPS must be posted on the Successor Agency's website and transmitted to the County Auditor-Controller, the State Controller and the State Department of Finance.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the governing board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Leandro that it does hereby resolve as follows:

- 1. The Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for January 1 June 30, 2014, attached hereto as Exhibit A is hereby approved.
- 2. The Executive Director or designee is authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to implement this Resolution, including without limitation, the submittal of the ROPS to the County Administrative Officer, the County Auditor-Controller, the Oversight Board, the State Department of Finance and the State Controller, and the posting of this Resolution and the ROPS on the Successor Agency's website.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Treasurer, and their designees, are authorized and directed to take such actions as necessary and appropriate to carry out and implement the intent of this Resolution, including without limitation, the establishment of separate accounts and funds as necessary to appropriately document the receipts and expenditures of the Successor Agency.

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) - Summary Filed for the January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 Period

Name	of Successor Agency:	San Leandro						
Name	of County:	Alameda						
Currei	nt Period Requested Fu	nding for Outstanding Debt or Obligation	n	Six-Month ⁻	Total			
		ons Funded with Non-Redevelopment Pr	operty Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding					
Α	Sources (B+C+D):			\$	550,000			
В	Bond Proceeds Fur	nding (ROPS Detail)			550,000			
С	Reserve Balance F	unding (ROPS Detail)			-			
D	Other Funding (RO	PS Detail)			-			
E	Enforceable Obligation	ons Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G):		\$	5,540,292			
F	Non-Administrative	Costs (ROPS Detail)			5,232,865			
G	G Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)							
Н	Current Period Enforce	ceable Obligations (A+E):		\$	6,090,292			
Succe	ann Amanay Calf Dana	utod Duiou Douiod Adirestment to Comment	Davied DDTTE Degreested Funding					
Succe	-	rted Prior Period Adjustment to Current	Period RPTTF Requested Funding					
I	J	s funded with RPTTF (E):			5,540,292			
J	Less Prior Period Adjus	stment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments	Column U)	_	(148,872)			
K	Adjusted Current Per	iod RPTTF Requested Funding (I-J)		\$	5,391,420			
Count	y Auditor Controller Rei	ported Prior Period Adjustment to Curre	nt Period RPTTF Requested Funding					
L		s funded with RPTTF (E):	·		5,540,292			
М	_	stment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments	Column AB)		-			
N	Adjusted Current Per	iod RPTTF Requested Funding (L-M)	,		5,540,292			
	cation of Oversight Board							
		of the Health and Safety code, I hereby nd accurate Recognized Obligation	Name		Title			
-	ent Schedule for the above		/s/					
			Signature		Date			
			- 3		_ 3.0			

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 13-14B - Report of Fund Balances (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K					
	Fund Sources													
	Bond P	roceeds	Reserve Balance		Other	RP	TTF							
Fund Balance Information by ROPS Period	Bonds Issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds Issued on or after 01/01/11	Review balances retained for approved enforceable obligations	RPTTF balances retained for bond reserves	Rent, Grants, Interest, Etc.	Non-Admin	Admin	Total	Comments					
PS III Actuals (01/01/13 - 6/30/13)														
Beginning Available Fund Balance (Actual 01/01/13) Note that for the RPTTF, 1 + 2 should tie to columns L and Q in the Report of Prior Period Adjustments (PPAs)	3,438,817	-	3,923,774	4,883,858	11,858	442,009		\$ 12,700,316						
Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/13) Note that the RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS III distributions from the County Auditor-Controller	19,100	3,149,009		153,686	-	7,069,963	125,002	\$ 10,516,760						
Expenditures for ROPS III Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/13) Note that for the RPTTF, 3 + 4 should tie to columns N and S in the Report of PPAs	658,068	3,149,009	-	350,852	-	3,092,475	250,000	\$ 7,500,404						
Retention of Available Fund Balance (Actual 06/30/13) Note that the Non-Admin RPTTF amount should only include the retention of reserves for debt service approved in ROPS III	2,799,849	-	3,923,774	4,686,692		4,419,497		\$ 15,829,812						
ROPS III RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment Note that the net Non-Admin and Admin RPTTF amounts should tie to columns O and T in the Report of PPAs.			No entry required			98,760	50,112	\$ 148,872						
Ending Actual Available Fund Balance (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ -	\$ 11,858	-	\$ (124,998)	\$ (262,012)						
DPS 13-14A Estimate (07/01/13 - 12/31/13)														
Beginning Available Fund Balance (Actual 07/01/13) (C, D, E, G, and I = 4 + 6, F = H4 + F6, and H = 5 + 6)	\$ 2,799,849	\$ -	\$ 3,923,774	\$ 4,419,497	\$ 11,858	\$ 98,760	\$ (74,886)	\$ 15,716,672						
Revenue/Income (Estimate 12/31/13) Note that the RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 13-14A distributions from the County Auditor-Controller		-	_			5,239,717		\$ 5,239,717						
Expenditures for 13-14A Enforceable Obligations (Estimate 12/31/13)	550,000		3,923,774			5,239,717	-	\$ 9,713,491						
Retention of Available Fund Balance (Estimate 12/31/13) Note that the RPTTF amounts may include the retention of reserves for debt service approved in ROPS 13-14A		-						\$ -						
1 Ending Estimated Available Fund Balance (7 + 8 - 9 -10)	\$ 2,249,849	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ 4,419,497	\$ 11,858	\$ 98,760	\$ (74.886)	\$ 11,242,898						

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 13-14B - ROPS Detail January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	О	Р
												Funding Source			
										Non-Redev	elopment Property T (Non-RPTTF)	ax Trust Fund	RPTTF		
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation \$ 115,279,702		Bond Proceeds \$ 550,000	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin \$ 5,232,865 \$	Admin 307,427	Six-Month Total \$ 6,090,29
1	2001 Certificates of Participation	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	6/1/2002	12/1/2026	US Bank	\$5.02m debt issuance to fund capital improvement projects	Joint	3,741,418	N	\$ 550,000	a	<u>ъ</u> -	49,770	307,427	\$ 49,77
2	2002 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	3/1/2003	9/1/2024	US Bank	\$15.935m debt issuance to fund capital improvement projects	Plaza	17,066,614	N				323,031		\$ 323,03
3	Plaza TAB Escrow Fund	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	3/1/2003	9/1/2024	Escrow Account managed by City of San Leandro	Set-Aside to ensure payment of bond debt service after project area expiration	Plaza	1,765,414	N				174,794		\$ 174,79
4	2004 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	9/1/2004	9/1/2034	US Bank	\$5.5m debt issuance to fund capital improvement projects	WSL	8,502,051	N				133,731		\$ 133,73
5	2008 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	9/1/2008	9/1/2038	US Bank	\$27.53m debt issuance to fund capital improvement projects	Joint	45,697,628	N				650,594		\$ 650,59
6	Urban Analytics	Fees	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	Urban Analytics	Continuing Disclosure Requirements for Bonds and Fiscal Analysis of Tax Increment	ALL	500,000	N				21,000		\$ 21,000
7	232 East 14th Street-Senior Housing	OPA/DDA/Constructi on	8/1/2000	8/1/2019	Bank of New York Mellon	HUD Section 108 Loan for Senior Housing Project at 232 East 14th Street	HSG	406,985	N				4,905		\$ 4,90
8	15555 East 14th Street-Bayfair Reimbursement	Third-Party Loans	8/23/2000	6/30/2013	Bayfair Development LLC	Improvement and Reimbursement Agreement (Amendment 2, July 1, 2000)	Joint	-	Y				-		\$
9	General Fund Loan - Auto Mall*	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	4/8/2004	7/1/2032	City of San Leandro	Loan from City General Fund (Res 2004-11 RDA)	Joint	2,040,797	N				-		\$
10	Sales Tax Rebate-Ford Store		10/1/2002	10/1/2024	Ford Store of San Leandro	Sales Tax Rebate per Owner Participation Agreement (March 6, 2000)	Joint	1,884,345	N				150,000		\$ 150,000
11	Lease Guarantee-Friends of the Sar Leandro Creek	Miscellaneous	7/15/2003	12/31/2023	Friends of the San Leandro Creek	/	Plaza	-	N				-		\$
12	Casa Verde-Operating Agmt	OPA/DDA/Constructi	1/1/2008	1/1/2038	Mercy Housing of CA	Operating Agreement for Casa Verde	HSG	5,213,371	N				104,350		\$ 104,35
13	262 Davis Street-Lease/Purchase Agmt	OPA/DDA/Constructi on	9/2/2008	12/31/2012	San Leandro Chamber of Commerce	Lease/Purchase Agmt for 262 Davis (Res 2008-023 RDA) Balance is an estimate.	Plaza	-	Y				-		\$
14	9th Grade Campus-SLUSD Financing Agrmt	Third-Party Loans	6/30/2009	6/30/2016	San Leandro Unified Schoo District	Financing Agreement for 9th Grade Campus	Joint	661,216	N				327,072		\$ 327,072
15	King Property-Ground Lease Guarantee	Miscellaneous	5/19/2000	2/13/2013	Estate of Malcolm D. King, Janet C. King, Douglas M. King, and Mary Lou King	Ground Lease Guarantee	Joint	-	Y				-		\$
16	King Property-Legal	Legal	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	Meyers Nave/City of San Leandro	Pre-litigation legal fees and staff costs related to King Ground Lease Guarantee	Joint	4,615	N				4,615		\$ 4,61
17	City of San Leandro-Property Management	Property Dispositions	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	City of San Leandro	Staff costs related to fulfillment of Property Management obligations	All	-	N				-		\$
18	Successor Agency-Audits	Dissolution Audits	6/27/2012	4/1/2013	TBD	Successor Agency and Housing audits in compliance with AB1484		-	Y				-		\$
19	Successor Agency-Legal	Legal	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	Meyers Nave	Legal Costs Associated with Successor Agency Obligations	All	500,000	N					15,000	\$ 15,000
	Successor Agency-Administration	Admin Costs	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	City of San Leandro	Agreement to Fund Staff/ Successor Agency Administration	All	6,250,000	N					292,427	\$ 292,42
21	Regency Center-CAM Agmt	Property Maintenance	12/9/1981	12/31/2028	Regency Centers, LP	Common Area Maintenance Agreement for Downtown Shopping Center (Res 80-21)	Plaza	-	Y					-	\$
22	Regency Centers-Security Agmt	Property Maintenance	6/21/2004	12/31/2028	City of San Leandro/ Regency Centers, LP	2004 Washington Plaza Security Agreement	Plaza/Joint	2,500,000	N				75,000		\$ 75,00

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 13-14B - ROPS Detail January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

АВ	С	D	E	F	G	н	ı	J	к	L	M	N	0		P
								Func		Funding Source	ource				
		0							Non-Redeve	development Property Tax Trust Fu (Non-RPTTF)		RPT	TF		
em # Project Name / Debt Obligation		Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	_	Nonth Tota
23 Garage Construction	Improvement/Infrast ucture	tr 10/1/2008	10/1/2012	City of San Leandro	Cooperative Agreement to Fund Garage Construction - \$11,450,000 (Res 2010-009 RDA), plus Purchase Agmt for 262 Davis (Res 2008-023 RDA)	Joint	500,000	N	500,000					\$	500,0
24 E14th Street/Hesperian Triangle Project-Site Remediation	Remediation	3/5/2010	6/30/2014	Bay Area Escrow Services	E14th/Hesperian Triangle Project - Revolving Fund Escrow Agreement for Site Remediation (cost is an estimate, could vary)	Joint	50,000	N	50,000					\$	50,00
25 E14th Street/Hesperian Triangle Project-Relocation Assistance	Miscellaneous	10/6/2009	6/30/2014	SideB Corporation/Quality Lube/Various	Relocation Assistance Triangle Project (Fed - Title 49 - Code of Federal Regulations; CA Code Regulations - Title 25, Div. 1, Ch 6, CA Govt Code sec 7260 et seq.; CA Code of Civil Procedure sec 1263.510)	Joint	-	N	-					\$	
26 The Alameda-OPLA & Legal	OPA/DDA/Construction	ti 4/6/2009	12/31/2014	Alameda Housing Associates	Funding Agreement for "The Alameda." Owner Participation and Loan Agreement and Associated Legal Costs (Res. 2009-009 RDA)	HSG	2,080,503	N				2,080,503		\$	2,080,50
27 Eden Rd. Construction*	Improvement/Infrast ucture	tr 1/17/2011	7/1/2013	City of San Leandro	Cooperative Agreement for Eden Road construction (Res 2011-001 RDA)	WSL	1,500,000	N				-		\$	
28 Doolittle Dr. Streetscape*	Improvement/Infrast ucture	tr 1/17/2011	1/1/2017	City of San Leandro	Cooperative Agreement for Doolittle Drive Streetscape Improvements (Res 2011-001 RDA)	WSL	4,193,611	N				-		\$	
29 MacArthur Blvd. Streetscape*	Improvement/Infrast ucture	tr 1/17/2011	1/1/2014	City of San Leandro	Cooperative Agreement for MacArthur Boulevard Streetscape Improvements (Res 2011-001 RDA)	WSL	1,274,143	N				-		\$	
30 Hays St. Streetscape*	Improvement/Infrast ucture	tr 1/17/2011	7/1/2016		Cooperative Agreement for Hays Street Streetscape Improvements (Res 2011-001 RDA)	Plaza	2,000,000	N				-		\$	
32 Accrued Leave for former RDA-pemployees	paid Unfunded Liabilities	2/1/2012	6/30/2012	City of San Leandro	Accrued Leave Pay-out for employees formerly funded by the RDA	All	-	Υ				-		\$	
33 Trash Enclosure Cleaning	Property Maintenance	5/25/2011	6/30/2012	Flagship Facility Services, Inc.	Agreement for cleaning of downtown trash enclosures	Plaza/Joint	-	Υ				-		\$	
34 Sidewalk Steam Cleaning	Property Maintenance	5/25/2011	6/30/2012	J.L. McComb Services	Agreement for steam cleaning and pressure washing downtown sidewalks	Plaza/Joint	-	Υ				-		\$	
35 Farmers Market	Miscellaneous	1/14/2011	6/30/2012	Pacific Coast Farmers Market Association	Agreement to operate Downtown Farmers Market	Joint	-	Υ				-		\$	
36 Downtown Cleaning & Maintenan	nce Property Maintenance	7/1/2009	6/30/2012	Stepping Stones		Plaza/Joint	-	Υ				-		\$	
37 Broadband Strategy	Miscellaneous	6/6/2011	8/31/2012	Tellus Venture Associates	Contract for Broadband Strategy Development	WSL	-	Υ				-		\$	
38 Senior Center	Improvement/Infrast ucture	tr 7/28/2008	12/31/2012	City of San Leandro	Cooperative Agreement to fund Senior Center-\$13,000,000 (Res 2008-019 RDA)	Joint	-	Y				-		\$	
39 King Ground Lease Settlement	Miscellaneous	2/13/2013	1/15/2018	The Estate of Douglas M. King; Janet C. King, Individually and as Trustee of the Janet C. King Family Trust of 2000; and Mary Lou King, Individually, as Trustee of the King Family Trust of 1992, and as General Partner of King Enterprises, L.P.)	Settlement of Litigation Pursuant to Ground Lease Guarantee (replaces ROPS item #15 above)	All	6,750,000	N				1,000,000		\$	1,000,00

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 13-14B - ROPS Detail January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	К	L	М	N	0		Р
										Funding Source						
										Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Non-RPTTF)			RPTTF			
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	•	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	Six-M	onth Total
	tigation Costs - AB1484 Stipulation	Litigation	1/17/2013	12/31/2013	Meyers Nave	Stipulation to Entry of Judgment pursuant to AB1484 "True-Up" payment. CA Superior Court Case No. 34-2013-80001367-CU-WM-GDS	All	41,336	N				41,336		\$	41,336
	tigation Costs - LMIHF Due iligence Review	Litigation	2/1/2013	12/31/2013	Meyers Nave	Litigation pursuant to DOF determination on Successor Agency's LMIHF Due Diligence Review.	All	23,400	N				23,400		\$	23,400
	tigation Costs - Other Funds Due iligence Review	Litigation	1/1/2013	6/30/2014	Meyers Nave	Litigation Costs - Other Funds Due Diligence Review and DOF Denial of City/Agency Agreements Re- Authorized by the Oversight Board	All	32,256	N				32,256		\$	32,256
	owntown San Leandro Community enefit District	Project Management Costs	t 1/1/2013	6/30/2014	Management Corporation, Downtown San Leandro Community Benefit District	real estate under newly formed benefit	Plaza	100,000	N				36,508		\$	36,508
															\$	

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 13-14B - Report of Prior Period Adjustments ROPS III Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS III (July through December 2013) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 13-14B (January through June 2014) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS III prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. ROPS III CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 13-14B by the SA to Finance and the CAC Non-RPTTF Expenditures **RPTTF Expenditures** Net CAC Non-LMIHE (Includes LMIHF Due Diligence Net SA Non-Admi Admin and Admin and Admin PPA Review (DDR) retained balances Non-Admin Non-Admin CAC Admin CAC Admin Available Available RPTTF Difference RPTTF Difference (Amount Used to Difference (Amount Used to ROPS III distributed Net Lesser of (If M is less than N ROPS III distributed Net Lesser of (If R is less than S Offset ROPS 13-14R Net Lesser of (If V is less than W. Net Lesser of If Y is less than Z. Offset ROPS 13-14B Requested RPTTF Authorized/ Authorized / Authorized / the difference is Authorized / Requested RPTTF all other available the difference is all other available the difference is the difference is Project Name / Debt Obligation as of 1/1/13) as of 1/1/13) (O + T))zero) zero) zero) zero) \$ 2,175,243 \$ 2,294,013 \$ 98,760 \$ 125,002 105.983 \$ \$ 2,175,243 125,002 \$ 50.112 148.872 1 2001 Certificates of Participation 85,426 85,426 85,426 2002 Tax Allocation Bonds 340,451 340,451 336,140 4,312 4,312 3 Plaza TAB Escrow Fund 153.686 153.686 153,686 \$ \$ \$ - \$ 4 2004 Tax Allocation Bonds 137,325 137,325 137,325 5 2008 Tax Allocation Bonds 663 844 663 844 663 844 \$ \$ \$ - \$ 6 Urban Analytics 21,000 21,000 12,242 8,758 8,758 232 East 14th Street-Senior 5.327 5.327 5.327 7 Housing 15555 East 14th Street-Bayfair 8 Reimbursment 200,000 200,000 200,000 9 General Fund Loan - Auto Mall \$ 10 Sales Tax Rebate-Ford Store Lease Guarantee-Friends of th 11 San Leandro Creek 17,323 17,323 17,323 17,323 12 Casa Verde-Operating Agmt 97,788 97,788 97,788 \$ \$ - \$ 262 Davis Street-13 Lease/Purchase Agmt 9th Grade Campus-SLUSD 14 Financing Agrmt 327.072 327.072 327.072 King Property-Ground Lease Guarentee 16 King Property-Legal 48.000 48 000 52 615 \$ \$ - \$ City of San Leandro-Property 17 Management 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 18 Sucessor Agency-Audits 12,000 12,000 9,633 \$ 2,367 \$ \$ 2,367 19 Successor Agency-Legal 45,000 45,000 9,888 \$ 35,112 35,112 Successor Agency-20 Administration 65,002 65,002 96.095 21 Regency Center-CAM Agmt \$ 22 Regency Centers-Security Agmit 66,000 66,000 66,000 66,000 23 Garage Construction E14th Street/Hesperian Triangle 24 Project-Site Remediation 31,608 \$ E14th Street/Hesperian Triangle 25 Project-Relocation Assistance 26 The Alameda-OPLA & Legal 104,277 \$ \$ \$ - \$ 27 Eden Rd. Construction* 28 Doolittle Dr. Streetscape* \$ \$ - \$ 29 MacArthur Blvd. Streetscape* \$ \$ 30 Hays St. Streetscape* Accrued Leave for forme 32 paid employees 33 Trash Enclosure Cleaning \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ 34 Sidewalk Steam Cleaning \$ 35 Farmers Market 36 Maintenance 37 Broadband Strategy \$ \$ - \$ \$ 38 Senior Center \$ 39 King Ground Lease Settlement Litigation Costs - AB1484 40 Stipulation 41,336 Litigation Costs - LMIHE Due 41 Diligence Review 23.440 Litigation Costs - Other Funds 42 Due Diligence Review 12,256

January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014

Item # Notes/Comments

- 15 Item has been denied by DOF and is subject to litigation. No RPTTF requested pending a resolution.
- 23 Completion of this project has extended past the original estimated completion date. All funds still from bonds, not RPTTF.
- 24 Obligation end date is only an estimate.
- 25 Obligation end date is only an estimate.
- 26 Obligation end date is only an estimate.
- 27 Obligation disputed by DOF. If obligation is restored, end date and payment schedule will need to be revised.
- 29 Obligation disputed by DOF. If obligation is restored, end date and payment schedule will need to be revised.
- 33 Item has been denied by DOF and is subject to litigation. No RPTTF requested pending a resolution.
- 34 Item has been denied by DOF and is subject to litigation. No RPTTF requested pending a resolution.
- 35 Item has been denied by DOF and is subject to litigation. No RPTTF requested pending a resolution.
- 36 Item has been denied by DOF and is subject to litigation. No RPTTF requested pending a resolution.
- 40 Obligation end date is only an estimate.
- 41 Obligation end date is only an estimate.
- 42 Obligation end date is only an estimate.